

Questions and Corrections 2012-11

7-3-12

2012-11 Grant Co. KY 36 (6-411.00)

QUESTION: Under Environmental in the advertisement "appropriate environmental studies" is included in the specified services, but under prequalifications required it only lists Hazmat Preliminary Site Assessment (Phase I) and UST Preliminary Site Assessment. This project will likely require at least a CE Level III environmental document which would require all of the other environmental prequalifications. Please clarify if other prequals are needed.

RESPONSE: The selected consultant will be responsible for preparing any required permits. There is strong possibility there will be USTs on this project. The Projected Traffic Impacts study says a Corps 404 permit and DOW 401 permit may be required. And there is an abandoned gas station in the project area. The Department will be responsible for all other necessary environmental services.

(Updated 6-26-12)

2012-11 Nelson County 4-8307.10

QUESTION: Within the professional services doc, this link for the DNA Study contains another link for a Land Use Map (on page 9). That link is looking for the project wise server.

RESPONSE: The link for the Land Use Map is referring to a supporting document used to complete the DNA Study. ~~This information will be provided to the selected consultant if the Project Manager believes it will be helpful. The link is for in-house use only and will not be provided at this time.~~

The land use plan is published at the [Nelson County Planning and Zoning](#).

6-26-12

2012-11, Morgan US 460 10-8302.

QUESTION: The Project Description references milepost 1.6 to 2.1 (0.5 miles) while the Project Length given is 1.1 miles, which matches more closely to the given Purpose and Need and Alternative 4 which was recommended in the DNA study. Request some clarification on whether the project is intended to be a spot improvement or a segment reconstruction or study of both.

RESPONSE: For the preliminary engineering phase, the intent is to look at both spot improvements and segment reconstruction.

6-22-12

2012-11 Hopkins/Union/Union/Daviess/Ohio

QUESTION: Would the Cabinet be open to increasing the number of pages in the Project Approach for this proposal from three pages to five pages? Three pages will be very difficult to describe an in-depth analysis and solution for all 5 bridge projects associated with this RFP. Let me know what you think.

RESPONSE: We believe the 3 pages allowed for the Project Approach, plus the additional page allowed to address DBE participation, is adequate for the responding consultants to convey their qualifications for developing design plans for all five bridge replacement projects. An "in-depth

analysis and solution” for all 5 bridge projects is not necessary for conveying the consultants qualifications.

6-14-12

NOTICE: Selection Committee Members have now been posted.